REMARKS

Applicants note that there is a typographical error in the Notice of Allowability, which states that the allowed claims are 42-54, 56-59, and 65.

In a telephonic interview December 8, 2004, Examiner Chen and Applicant's attorney Seth Levy discussed claims 60-65, agreeing that those claims would be cancelled, and a new claim 66 would be added by Examiner's amendment. The Examiner's amendment received with the Notice of Allowance accurately reflects this discussion, by the addition of new claim 66.

Accordingly, Applicants hereby request a Supplemental Notice of Allowability, correcting for the record the recitation of the allowed claims to read "The allowed claims are 42-54, 56-59, and **66**." A marked copy of the Notice of Allowability is enclosed herewith.

Respectfully submitted, Shlomo Melmed et al. DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP

Seth D. Levy

Registration-No. 44,869

Enclosure:

Postcard
Copy of Notice of Allowability w/corrections

865 Figueroa Street, Suite 2400 Los Angeles, CA 90017-2566

Phone: (213) 633-6800 Facsimile: (213) 633-6899

OIPE			
MAR 1 4 2005 Notice of Allowability	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/978,146	MELMED ET AL.	
Motice of Allowability	Examiner	Art Unit	
& Things and	Shin-Lin Chen	1632	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIOF of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in or other appropriate commu GHTS. This application is s and MPEP 1308.	this application. If not include nication will be mailed in due ubject to withdrawal from issu	ed course. THIS
 2. ☐ The allowed claim(s) is/are 42-54,56-59 and 66. 3. ☐ The drawings filed on 10-15-01 are accepted by the Examination 	ner.		
4.	been received. been received in Application cuments have been received of this communication to file ENT of this application. itted. Note the attached EXA is reason(s) why the oath or the submitted. on's Patent Drawing Review Amendment / Comment or 84(c)) should be written on the header according to 37 CFI sit of BIOLOGICAL MATE	n No I in this national stage applicate a reply complying with the recomplex of the complying with the recomplex of the complex of t	quirements OTICE OF
Attachment(s) 1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No./Mail Date 4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	6. ⊠ Interview Su Paper No./I 8), 7. ⊠ Examiner's /	ormal Patent Application (PT0 immary (PT0-413), Mail Date <u>12-8-04</u> . Amendment/Comment Statement of Reasons for Allo	
		Shin-Lin Chen Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1632	